



ASSOCIATION CONNECTING
ELECTRONICS INDUSTRIES®

IPC J-STD-003B

Solderability Tests for Printed Boards

Developed by the Printed Wiring Board Solderability Specification Task Group (5-23a) of the Assembly & Joining Processes Committee (5-20) of IPC

Supersedes:

J-STD-003A - February 2003

J-STD-003 - April 1992

IPC-S-804A - January 1987

IPC-S-803

IPC-S-801

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC

3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S

Bannockburn, IL 60015-1249

Phone (847) 615-7100

Fax (847) 615-7105

Table of Contents

1 GENERAL	1	4.1.1 Application of Flux	6
1.1 Scope	1	4.2 Tests with Established Accept/ Reject Criteria	7
1.2 Purpose	1	4.2.1 Test A – Edge Dip Test Tin/Lead Solder	7
1.3 Objective	1	4.2.1.1 Apparatus	7
1.3.1 Shall or Should	1	4.2.1.1.1 Solder Pot/Bath	7
1.3.2 Document Hierarchy	1	4.2.1.1.2 Dipping Device	7
1.4 Performance Classes	1	4.2.1.2 Test Specimen	7
1.5 Method Classification	1	4.2.1.3 Procedure	7
1.5.1 Visual Acceptance Criteria Tests	1	4.2.1.4 Evaluation	9
1.5.2 Force Measurement Criteria Tests	2	4.2.1.4.1 Magnification	9
1.5.3 Test(s) Methodologies Under Committee Review	2	4.2.1.4.2 Surface Evaluation – Accept/ Reject Criteria	9
1.6 Test Method Selection	2	4.2.2 Test B – Rotary Dip Test Tin/Lead Solder ...	9
1.7 Test Specimen Requirements	2	4.2.2.1 Apparatus	9
1.8 Coating Durability	3	4.2.2.2 Test Specimen	9
1.9 Limitation	3	4.2.2.3 Procedure	9
2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	3	4.2.2.4 Evaluation	9
2.1 Industry	3	4.2.2.4.1 Magnification	9
2.1.1 IPC	3	4.2.2.4.2 Surface Evaluation – Accept/ Reject Criteria	10
3 REQUIREMENTS	3	4.2.2.4.3 Plated-Through Hole Evaluation	10
3.1 Terms and Definitions	3	4.2.3 Test C – Solder Float Test Tin/ Lead Solder	11
3.2 Materials	3	4.2.3.1 Apparatus	11
3.2.1 Solder	3	4.2.3.1.1 Solder Pot	11
3.2.2 Flux	4	4.2.3.1.2 Test Specimen Handling Tool	11
3.2.2.1 Flux Maintenance	4	4.2.3.2 Test Specimen	11
3.2.3 Flux Removal	4	4.2.3.3 Procedure	11
3.3 Equipment	4	4.2.3.4 Evaluation	11
3.3.1 Conditioning Equipment	4	4.2.3.4.1 Magnification	11
3.3.2 Solder Pot/Bath	4	4.2.3.4.2 Surface Evaluation – Accept/ Reject Criteria	11
3.3.3 Optical Inspection Equipment	4	4.2.3.4.3 Plated-Through Hole Evaluation	11
3.3.4 Dipping Equipment	4	4.2.4 Test D – Wave Solder Test Tin/ Lead Solder	11
3.3.5 Timing Equipment	4	4.2.4.1 Apparatus	11
3.4 Preparation for Testing	4	4.2.4.2 Test Specimen	11
3.4.1 Test Specimen Preparation and Conditioning for Test	4	4.2.4.3 Procedure	11
3.4.2 Durability Conditioning	4	4.2.4.4 Evaluation	12
3.4.3 Baking	4	4.2.4.4.1 Magnification	12
3.5 Solder Bath Requirements	5	4.2.4.4.2 Surface Evaluation – Accept/ Reject Criteria	12
3.5.1 Solder Temperatures	5	4.2.4.4.3 Plated-Through Hole Evaluation	12
3.5.2 Solder Contamination Control	5		
4 TEST PROCEDURES	6		
4.1 Test Procedure Limitations	6		

4.2.5	Test E – Surface Mount Process Simulation Test Tin/Lead Solder	12	4.2.9.2	Test Specimen	16
4.2.5.1	Apparatus	12	4.2.9.3	Procedure	16
4.2.5.1.1	Stencil/Screen	12	4.2.9.4	Evaluation	16
4.2.5.1.2	Paste Application Tool	12	4.2.9.4.1	Magnification	16
4.2.5.2	Test Specimen	12	4.2.9.4.2	Surface Evaluation – Accept/ Reject Criteria	16
4.2.5.3	Reflow Equipment	12	4.2.9.4.3	Plated-Through Hole Evaluation	16
4.2.5.4	Procedure	13	4.2.10	Test E1 – Surface Mount Process Simulation Test Lead-Free Solder	16
4.2.5.5	Evaluation	13	4.2.10.1	Apparatus	17
4.2.5.5.1	Magnification	13	4.2.10.1.1	Stencil/Screen	17
4.2.5.5.2	Surface Evaluation – Accept/ Reject Criteria	13	4.2.10.1.2	Paste Application Tool	17
4.2.6	Test A1 – Edge Dip Test Lead- Free Solder	14	4.2.10.2	Test Specimen	17
4.2.6.1	Apparatus	14	4.2.10.3	Reflow Equipment	17
4.2.6.1.1	Solder Pot/Bath	14	4.2.10.4	Procedure	17
4.2.6.1.2	Dipping Device	14	4.2.10.5	Evaluation	17
4.2.6.2	Test Specimen	14	4.2.10.5.1	Magnification	17
4.2.6.3	Procedure	14	4.2.10.5.2	Surface Evaluation – Accept/ Reject Criteria	17
4.2.6.4	Evaluation	14	4.3	Tests with Force Measurement Criteria	18
4.2.6.4.1	Magnification	14	4.3.1	Test F – Wetting Balance Test: Tin/Lead Solder	18
4.2.6.4.2	Surface Evaluation – Accept/ Reject Criteria	14	4.3.1.1	Apparatus	18
4.2.7	Test B1 – Rotary Dip Test Lead- Free Solder	14	4.3.1.1.1	Dipping Device	18
4.2.7.1	Apparatus	14	4.3.1.2	Test Specimen	18
4.2.7.2	Test Specimen	14	4.3.1.3	Procedure	18
4.2.7.3	Procedure	14	4.3.1.4	Evaluation	19
4.2.7.4	Evaluation	15	4.3.1.4.1	Magnification	19
4.2.7.4.1	Magnification	15	4.3.1.4.2	Suggested Criteria	19
4.2.7.4.2	Surface Evaluation – Accept/ Reject Criteria	15	4.3.1.5	Gauge Repeatability and Reproducibility (GR&R) Protocol	19
4.2.7.4.3	Plated-Through Hole Evaluation	15	4.3.2	Test F1 – Wetting Balance Test: Lead-Free Solder	21
4.2.8	Test C1 – Solder Float Test Lead- Free Solder	15	4.3.2.1	Apparatus	21
4.2.8.1	Apparatus	15	4.3.2.1.1	Dipping Device	21
4.2.8.1.1	Solder Pot	15	4.3.2.2	Test Specimen	21
4.2.8.1.2	Test Specimen Handling Tool	15	4.3.2.3	Procedure	21
4.2.8.2	Test Specimen	15	4.3.2.4	Evaluation	21
4.2.8.3	Procedure	15	4.3.2.4.1	Magnification	21
4.2.8.4	Evaluation	15	4.3.2.4.2	Suggested Criteria	21
4.2.8.4.1	Magnification	15	4.3.2.5	Gauge Repeatability and Reproducibility (GR&R) Protocol	21
4.2.8.4.2	Surface Evaluation – Accept/ Reject Criteria	15	5 EVALUATION AIDS	22	
4.2.8.4.3	Plated-Through Hole Evaluation	16	5.1	Evaluation Aids – Surface	22
4.2.9	Test D1 – Wave Solder Test Lead- Free Solder	16	5.2	Evaluation Aids – For Class 3 Plated-Through Holes	22
4.2.9.1	Apparatus	16	6 NOTES	23	

6.1 Correction for Buoyancy 23

6.2 Preheat 23

6.3 Baking 23

6.4 Prebaking 23

6.5 Safety Note 23

6.6 Use of Nonactivated Flux 23

6.7 Solder Contact 23

APPENDIX A Calculation of Maximum Theoretical Force for a Rectangular Cross-Section 24

APPENDIX B Calculation of Area Under the Wetting Curve 25

APPENDIX C Informative Annex 26

APPENDIX D Test Protocol for Wetting Balance Gauge Repeatability and Reproducibility (GR&R) Using Copper Foil Coupons 27

APPENDIX E J-STD-002/J-STD-003 Activated Solderability Test Flux Rationale Committee Letter 28

Figures

Figure 3-1 Contact Angle 3

Figure 3-2 Example Reticle 5

Figure 4-1 Edge Dip Solderability Test 7

Figure 4-2 Suggested Test Specimen for Plated-Through Holes 8

Figure 4-3 Suggested Test Specimen for Surface Mount Features 8

Figure 4-4 Rotary Dip Test 9

Figure 4-5 Effectiveness of Solder Wetting of Plated-Through Holes - Class 3 10

Figure 4-6 Examples of Solder Wetting of Plated-Through Holes - Class 3 10

Figure 4-7 Wetting Balance Apparatus 18

Figure 4-8 Suggested Wetting Balance Test Specimens and Soldering Immersion 18

Figure 4-9 Wetting Balance Test Soldering Immersion ... 19

Figure 4-10 Set A Wetting Curve 20

Figure 4-11 Set B Wetting Curve 20

Figure 5-1 Aid to Evaluation 22

Tables

Table 1-1 Test Method Selection 2

Table 1-2 Conditioning and Test Requirements 3

Table 3-1 Flux Composition 4

Table 3-2 Maximum Limits of Solder Bath Contaminant 5

Table 4-1 Stencil Thickness Requirements 12

Table 4-2 Reflow Parameter Requirements 12

Table 4-3 Stencil Thickness Requirements 17

Table 4-4 Lead-Free Reflow Parameter Requirements 17

Table 4-5 Wetting Balance Parameter and Suggested Criteria 19

Table 4-6 Wetting Balance Parameter and Suggested Criteria 21

Solderability Tests for Printed Boards

1 GENERAL

1.1 Scope This standard prescribes test methods, defect definitions and illustrations for assessing the solderability of printed board surface conductors, attachment lands, and plated-through holes. This standard is intended for use by both vendor and user.

1.2 Purpose The solderability determination is made to verify that the printed board fabrication processes and subsequent storage have had no adverse effect on the solderability of those portions of the printed board intended to be soldered. This is determined by evaluation of the solderability test specimen portion of a board or representative test specimen which has been processed as part of the panel of boards and subsequently removed for testing per the method selected.

1.3 Objective The objective of the solderability test methods described in this standard is to determine the ability of printed board surface conductors, attachment lands, and plated-through holes to wet easily with solder and to withstand the rigors of the printed board assembly processes.

1.3.1 Shall or Should The word “shall” is used in the text of this document wherever there is a requirement for materials, preparation, process control or acceptance of a soldered connection or a test method. The word “should” reflects recommendations and is used to reflect general industry practices and procedures for guidance only.

1.3.2 Document Hierarchy In the event of conflict, the following descending order of precedence applies:

1. Procurement as agreed between user and supplier.
2. Master drawing or master assembly drawing reflecting the user’s detailed requirements.
3. When invoked by the customer or per contractual agreement, this document, J-STD-003.
4. Other documents to extent specified by the customer.

1.4 Performance Classes Three general classes have been established to reflect progressive increases in sophistication, functional performance requirements and testing/inspection frequency. It should be recognized that there may be an overlap of equipment categories in different classes. The user has the responsibility to specify in the contract or purchase order the performance class required for each product and **shall** indicate any exceptions to specific parameters, where appropriate.

Class 1 – General Electronic Products

Includes consumer products, some computer and computer peripherals suitable for applications where cosmetic imperfections are not important and the major requirement is function of the completed printed board.

Class 2 – Dedicated Service Electronic Products

Includes communications equipment, sophisticated business machines, instruments where high performance and extended life is required and for which uninterrupted service is desired but not critical. Certain cosmetic imperfections are allowed.

Class 3 – High Performance Electronic Products

Includes the equipment and products where continued performance or performance on demand is critical. Equipment downtime cannot be tolerated and must function when required such as in life support items or flight control systems. Printed boards in this class are suitable for applications where high levels of assurance are required and service is essential.

1.5 Method Classification This standard describes test methods by which both the surface conductors (and attachment lands) and plated-through holes may be evaluated for solderability. Test A, Test B, Test C, Test D and Test E for tin/lead solder processes and Test A1, Test B1, Test C1, Test D1 and Test E1 for lead-free solder processes, unless otherwise agreed upon between vendor and user. Test A and Test C for tin/lead solder processes, Test A1 and Test C1 for lead-free solder processes are to be used as a default solderability tests.

Provisions are made for this determination to be performed at the time of manufacture, at the receipt of the boards by the user, or just prior to assembly and soldering. User and vendor **shall** agree to the appropriate method to be used and their correlation.

Standard dwell times are defined in some of the methods called out in this standard. Variations in board heat capacity may necessitate the use of longer solder dwell times (see 6.2). Any change in solder dwell **shall** be agreed upon by user and vendor.

1.5.1 Visual Acceptance Criteria Tests

Tin Lead Solder Alloy

Test A – Edge Dip Test For surface conductors and attachment lands only (see 4.2.1)

Test B – Rotary Dip Test For plated-through holes, surface conductors and attachment lands, solder source side (see 4.2.2)