



ASSOCIATION CONNECTING  
ELECTRONICS INDUSTRIES®

IPC-9691A

# **User Guide for the IPC-TM-650, Method 2.6.25, Conductive Anodic Filament (CAF) Resistance Test (Electrochemical Migration Testing)**

Developed by the Electrochemical Migration Task Group (5-32e) of the Cleaning and Coating Committee (5-30) of IPC

***Supersedes:***

IPC-9691 - October 2005

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC  
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S  
Bannockburn, Illinois  
60015-1219  
Tel 847 615.7100  
Fax 847 615.7105

# Table of Contents

<b>1 SCOPE</b>	1
<b>2 BACKGROUND</b>	1
<b>3 PURPOSE</b>	1
<b>4 INTRODUCTION</b>	1
<b>5 WHAT IS CONDUCTIVE ANODIC FILAMENT GROWTH?</b>	2
<b>6 INCREASING CAF RISK TRENDS</b>	2
<b>7 ADJUSTED CALCE CAF FAILURE MODEL</b>	3
<b>8 CAF TEST VEHICLE DESIGN</b>	4
<b>9 CAF TEST BOARD SAMPLE PREPARATION &amp; TESTING</b>	5
<b>10 CAF TEST DATA FORMAT</b>	5
<b>11 CAF SPACING DATA ANALYSIS</b>	7
<b>12 SAMPLE SIZES</b>	8
<b>13 RELATING CAF TEST RESULTS TO EXPECTED FIELD LIFE</b>	9
<b>14 SPECIFYING CAF RESISTANCE</b>	10
<b>15 LOCATION OF TESTING</b>	10
<b>16 LOCATING CAF FAILURES</b>	11
<b>17 FACTORS AFFECTING CAF RESISTANCE</b>	11
<b>18 CONCLUSIONS</b>	14
<b>19 SUMMARY</b>	15

## Figures

Figure 4-1	Conductive Filament Example <sup>a</sup>	1
Figure 4-2	Two Perspectives of the Same Conductive Filament <sup>b</sup>	2
Figure 4-3	Failure Analysis Photo Showing Suspected CAF Failure Between Very Closely-Spaced Plated Through Holes <sup>c</sup>	2
Figure 7-1	Typical Copper Wicking in a Plated-Through Hole	4
Figure 8-1	Region A: Plated-Through Holes in Alignment with X, Y	4
Figure 8-2	Region B: Plated-Through Holes in Diagonal Orientation	5
Figure 12-1	TB38A as Manufactured by Board House with NiAu (ENIG) Finish	8

Figure 12-2	Schematic of In-Line Test Comb, With Possible Failure Site	8
Figure 12-3	Schematic Section of Via Pair With Bias Applied	9
Figure 12-4	Schematic of Staggered Test Comb, With Possible Failure Site	9
Figure 12-5	CAF Test Coupons Under Test in Environmental Chamber	9
Figure 14-1	Example of the Electrical Effect of a Typical CAF Failure	10
Figure 16-1	Schematic Section to Illustrate Polishing to Locate CAF	11
Figure 17-1	Effect of Via Wall-to-Wall Gap on TTF	11
Figure 17-2	Effect of Via Alignment on TTF, for 2113 and 2116 Glass-Reinforced Laminates	12
Figure 17-3	Effect of Surface Finish on TTF	12
Figure 17-4	Effect of Drill Feed Rate	12
Figure 17-5	Effect of Reflow	13
Figure 17-6	Effect of Board House	13
Figure 17-7	Effect of Material T <sub>g</sub>	14
Figure 17-8	Effect of Reinforcement - Board House A, Drill 1, NiAu (ENIG) Finish	14
Figure 17-9	TTF Data for CAF and Non-CAF Resistant Laminate	14

## Tables

Table 6-1	Plated-Through Hole Wall-to-Wall Spacing Trends	3
Table 10-1	Typical SIR-Type Resistance Data Reporting	6
Table 10-2	Same Data from Table 10-1, Sorted for Each CAF Analysis	7
Table 11-1	Typical Dicyandiamide-Cured FR4 Laminate Test Results from SIR-Type Resistance Data	8
Table 17-1	Coupon Conditions Selected for Comparison of Surface Finishes	12
Table 17-2	Coupon Conditions Selected for Comparison of Drill Parameters	12
Table 17-3	Coupon Conditions Selected for Comparison of Reflow Conditions	13
Table 17-4	Coupon Conditions Selected for Comparison of Manufacturing Site	13
Table 17-5	Coupon Conditions Selected for Comparison of Material T <sub>g</sub>	13
Table 17-6	Coupon Conditions Selected for Comparison of Reinforcements	14
Table 17-7	Coupon Conditions Selected for Comparison of CAF Designation	14

# User Guide for the IPC-TM-650, Method 2.6.25, Conductive Anodic Filament (CAF) Resistance Test (Electrochemical Migration Testing)

## 1 SCOPE

This document is the product of the IPC Electrochemical Migration (ECM) Task Group. It was drafted to provide guidance regarding how the IPC-TM-650, Method 2.6.25, Conductive Anodic Filament (CAF) Resistance test can best be used for evaluating the effects of mechanical stress, laminate material fracturing, ionic contamination, moisture content prior to press lamination, and other material processing characteristics on conductive anodic filament (CAF) resistance test method results. This CAF test method provides a proven standard for determining the risk of temperature, humidity and bias (THB) failure within rather than on the surface of printed circuit boards (PCBs), typically filament formation along the boundary between the resin and laminate reinforcement.

## 2 BACKGROUND

Conductive anodic filament formation was discovered in 1976 simultaneously by researchers at Bell Labs and Raytheon. Bell labs researchers [1, 2] testing flexible epoxy substrates showed that under temperature, high humidity and voltage conditions, catastrophic failure can occur due to “through substrate shorts” and surface to surface shorts. Der Marderosian at Raytheon [3] examined only through substrate shorts and termed the failure mode the “punch thru” phenomenon. It was not until 1979 that this failure mode was named conductive anodic filament (CAF) growth. [4]

CAF growth is a conductive copper-containing salt created electrochemically that grows from the anode toward the cathode subsurface along the epoxy/glass interface. More recently CAF reliability concerns in the industry have increased as board designs have advanced in terms of decreasing dimensions and/or higher voltages.

The mechanism for CAF is the transfer of copper (Cu) ions and the deposition of Cu salts in the presence of moisture and voltage bias influenced by concentration and pH gradients. The conductive path is the growth from the anode by a salt, as compared to dendrite formation on the surface of the board where metal ions deposit on the cathode [5]. CAF is associated primarily with mechanically drilled holes where the mechanical drilling disrupts the glass reinforcement fibers in glass bundles permitting the absorption of subsequent processing chemistry between the fibers and epoxy.

The user of this document is encouraged to become familiar with IPC-9201, *Surface Insulation Resistance Handbook* so as to understand the factors which affect electrochemical migration.

## 3 PURPOSE

This user guide addresses test issues regarding determining pass/fail criteria based on knowledge of three product goals:

- What are the long term reliability requirements?
- What is the closest spacing required for a given voltage differential?
- What is the maximum safe voltage differential between features with a given spacing?

## 4 INTRODUCTION

Where large conductive filament growth is visible under a microscope, often there is some adjacent laminate material fracturing or defect that contributed to its formation. Examples of such visible filament growth follow. Only Figure 4-3 may show a true atacamite filament, however all examples shown in Figures 4-1, 4-2 and 4-3 would fail the temperature, humidity, and bias conditions selected to determine multilayer board reliability between internal features.

The high magnification photo, Figure 4-1 below, shows a PCB with layer 1 (top layer) removed, revealing a near shorting condition between the plated through hole and layer 2 ground plane.




Figure 4-1 Conductive Filament Example<sup>a</sup>

Poor press lamination and/or defective prepreg material may contribute to conductive filament formation or early